4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

LYNN HUBBARD, III, SBN 69773 SCOTTLYNN J HUBBARD, IV, SBN 212970 **DISABLED ADVOCACY GROUP, APLC** 12 Williamsburg Lane Chico, CA 95926 Telephone: (530) 895-3252 JUL 3 0 2008

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY C. DEPUTY

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Facsimile: (530) 894-8244

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Page 1

A.J. OLIVER,

Plaintiff,

ll vs.

UNS, INC. dba LONG JOHN

|| SILVER'S #31090,

Defendant.

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26 27

28

Oliver v. UNS, Inc. dba Long John Silver's #31090 Plaintiff's Complaint No. '08 CV 1385 BEN LSP

Plaintiff's Complaint

I. SUMMARY

3

2

1. This is a civil rights action by plaintiff A.J. Oliver ("Oliver") for discrimination at the building, structure, facility, complex, property, land, development, and/or surrounding business complex known as:

4

5

6

7

Long John Silver's #31090

1049 3rd Avenue

Chula Vista, CA 91911

(hereafter "the Restaurant")

8

10

11

2. Oliver seeks damages, injunctive and declaratory relief, attorney fees and costs, against UNS, Inc. dba Long John Silver's (hereinafter referred to as Long John Silver's) pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq.), and related California statutes.

13

12

II. JURISDICTION

1415

3. This Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 for ADA claims.

1617

4. Supplemental jurisdiction for claims brought under parallel California law—arising from the same nucleus of operative facts—is predicated on 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

18 19

5. Oliver's claims are authorized by 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

20

III. VENUE

2122

6. All actions complained of herein take place within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court, Southern District of California, and venue is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), (c).

2324

IV. PARTIES

2526

7. Long John Silver's owns, operates, and/or leases the Restaurant, and consists of a person (or persons), firm, and/or corporation.

2728

8. Oliver suffered a stroke approximately 13 years ago. The stroke left him paralyzed, speech impaired, and unable to stand or walk. Oliver requires the Oliver v. UNS, Inc. dba Long John Silver's #31090

Plaintiff's Complaint

9

1011

1213

1415

1617

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

use of a motorized wheelchair when traveling about in public. Consequently, Oliver is "physically disabled," as defined by all applicable California and United States laws, and a member of the public whose rights are protected by these laws.

V. FACTS

- 9. The Restaurant is an establishment serving food and drink, open to the public, which is intended for nonresidential use and whose operation affects commerce.
- 10. Oliver visited the Restaurant and encountered barriers (both physical and intangible) that interfered with—if not outright denied—his ability to use and enjoy the goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the facility. To the extent known by Oliver, the barriers at the Restaurant included, but are not limited to, the following:
 - The tow away signage posted is not correct;
 - The access aisle has a slope and cross slope that exceeds 2.0% due to the encroaching built up curb ramp;
 - The van accessible signage is not correct;
 - The disabled parking stalls have slopes and cross slopes that exceed 2.0%;
 - There is no International Symbol of Accessibility posted on the strike side of the entry door;
 - The platform in front of the entry door is not level;
 - There is no seating designated as being accessible to the disabled;
 - There is no disabled seating;
 - The food service counter is too high and there is no portion lowered to accommodate patrons in wheelchairs;
 - There is no signage at the men's restroom to indicate that it is accessible to the disabled;
 - The water closet stall is too narrow;

Oliver v. UNS, Inc. dba Long John Silver's #31090 Plaintiff's Complaint

- 1 2
- 3
- 5

7

8

- 9
- 10
- 11
- 1213
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 2021
- 22
- 2324
- 2526
- 27
- 28

- The toilet tissue dispenser is more than 12 inches from the water closet;
- The toilet tissue dispenser is an obstruction to the use of the side grab bar;
- The side grab bar does not extend 24 inches from the front of the water closet;
- The operable part of the disposable seat cover dispenser is more than 40 inches from the floor;
- The disposable seat cover dispenser is mounted above and behind the water closet causing it to be outside of the required reach range limits;
- The water closet is an obstruction to the use of the disposable seat cover dispenser;
- The lavatory controls require twisting, pinching, and/or grasping to operate;
- The pipes underneath the lavatory are not wrapped;
- The mirror is mounted at more than 40 inches from the floor;
- The operable part of the paper towel dispenser is mounted at more than 40 inches from the floor; and,
- There is insufficient strike side clearance when exiting the restroom.
- These barriers prevented Oliver from enjoying full and equal access.
- 11. Oliver was also deterred from visiting the Restaurant because he knew that the Restaurant's goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and accommodations were unavailable to physically disabled patrons (such as himself). He continues to be deterred from visiting the Restaurant because of the future threats of injury created by these barriers.
- 12. Oliver also encountered barriers at the Restaurant, which violate state and federal law, but were unrelated to his disability. Nothing within this Complaint, however, should be construed as an allegation that Oliver is seeking to remove barriers unrelated to his disability.

- 13. Long John Silver's knew that these elements and areas of the Restaurant were inaccessible, violate state and federal law, and interfere with (or deny) access to the physically disabled. Moreover, Long John Silver's has the financial resources to remove these barriers from the Restaurant (without much difficulty or expense), and make the Restaurant accessible to the physically disabled. To date, however, Long John Silver's refuses to either remove those barriers or seek an unreasonable hardship exemption to excuse non-compliance.
- 14. At all relevant times, Long John Silver's has possessed and enjoyed sufficient control and authority to modify the Restaurant to remove impediments to wheelchair access and to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines and Title 24 regulations. Long John Silver's has not removed such impediments and has not modified the Restaurant to conform to accessibility standards. Long John Silver's has intentionally maintained the Restaurant in its current condition and has intentionally refrained from altering the Restaurant so that it complies with the accessibility standards.
- 15. Oliver further alleges that the (continued) presence of barriers at the Restaurant is so obvious as to establish Long John Silver's discriminatory intent. On information and belief, Oliver avers that evidence of this discriminatory intent includes Long John Silver's refusal to adhere to relevant building standards; disregard for the building plans and permits issued for the Restaurant; conscientious decision to the architectural layout (as it currently exists) at the Restaurant; decision not to remove barriers from the Restaurant; and allowance that the Restaurant continues to exist in its non-compliant state. Oliver further alleges, on information and belief, that Long John Silver's is not in the midst of a remodel, and that the barriers present at the Restaurant are not isolated (or temporary) interruptions in access due to maintenance or repairs.²

E.g., Gunther v. Lin, 144 Cal.App.4th 223, fn. 6 ld.; 28 C.F.R. § 36.211(b)

Oliver v. UNS, Inc. dba Long John Silver's #31090
Plaintiff's Complaint

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

1011

12

13

14

1516

1718

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

VI. FIRST CLAIM

Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990

Denial of "Full and Equal" Enjoyment and Use

- 16. Oliver incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 15 for this claim.
- 17. Title III of the ADA holds as a "general rule" that no individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment (or use) of goods, services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
- 18. Long John Silver's discriminated against Oliver by denying "full and equal enjoyment" and use of the goods, services, facilities, privileges or accommodations of the Restaurant during each visit and each incident of deterrence.

Failure to Remove Architectural Barriers in an Existing Facility

- 19. The ADA specifically prohibits failing to remove architectural barriers, which are structural in nature, in existing facilities where such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv). The term "readily achievable" is defined as "easily accomplishable and able to be carried out without much difficulty or expense." <u>Id.</u> § 12181(9).
- 20. When an entity can demonstrate that removal of a barrier is not readily achievable, a failure to make goods, services, facilities, or accommodations available through alternative methods is also specifically prohibited if these methods are readily achievable. <u>Id.</u> § 12182(b)(2)(A)(v).
- 21. Here, Oliver alleges that Long John Silver's can easily remove the architectural barriers at the Restaurant without much difficulty or expense, and that Long John Silver's violated the ADA by failing to remove those barriers, when it was readily achievable to do so.

Oliver v. UNS, Inc. dba Long John Silver's #31090 Plaintiff's Complaint 22.

methods, which are readily achievable.

8

11 12

13

14 15

17 18

16

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Nothing within this Complaint should be construed as an allegation that plaintiff is bringing this action as a private attorney general under either state or federal statutes.

Oliver v. UNS, Inc. dba Long John Silver's #31090 Plaintiff's Complaint

Failure to Design and Construct an Accessible Facility

Silver's to remove the Restaurant's barriers, then Long John Silver's violated the

ADA by failing to make the required services available through alternative

In the alternative, if it was not "readily achievable" for Long John

- On information and belief, the Restaurant was designed or 23. constructed (or both) after January 26, 1992—independently triggering access requirements under Title III of the ADA.
- The ADA also prohibits designing and constructing facilities for 24. first occupancy after January 26, 1993, that aren't readily accessible to, and usable by, individuals with disabilities when it was structurally practicable to do so. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(1).
- Here, Long John Silver's violated the ADA by designing or 25. constructing (or both) the Restaurant in a manner that was not readily accessible to the physically disabled public—including Oliver—when it was structurally practical to do so.³

Failure to Make an Altered Facility Accessible

- On information and belief, the Restaurant was modified after 26. January 26, 1992, independently triggering access requirements under the ADA.
- The ADA also requires that facilities altered in a manner that affects 27. (or could affect) its usability must be made readily accessible to individuals with disabilities to the maximum extent feasible. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2). Altering an area that contains a facility's primary function also requires adding making the paths of travel, bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving that area accessible to the maximum extent feasible. Id.

4

5

6

7 8 9

11

10

12 13

15 16

14

18 19

17

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Here, Long John Silver's altered the Restaurant in a manner that 28. violated the ADA and was not readily accessible to the physically disabled public—including Oliver—to the maximum extent feasible.

Failure to Modify Existing Policies and Procedures

- The ADA also requires reasonable modifications in policies, 29. practices, or procedures, when necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter their nature. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- Here, Long John Silver's violated the ADA by failing to make 30. reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures at the Restaurant, when these modifications were necessary to afford (and would not fundamentally alter the nature of) these goods, services, facilities, or accommodations.
- Oliver seeks all relief available under the ADA (i.e., injunctive 31. relief, attorney fees, costs, legal expense) for these aforementioned violations. 42 U.S.C. § 12205.
- Oliver also seeks a finding from this Court (i.e., declaratory relief) 32. that Long John Silver's violated the ADA in order to pursue damages under California's Unruh Civil Rights Act or Disabled Persons Act.

VII. SECOND CLAIM

Disabled Persons Act

- Oliver incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 33. through 30 for this claim.
- California Civil Code § 54 states, in part, that: Individuals with 34. disabilities have the same right as the general public to the full and free use of the streets, sidewalks, walkways, public buildings and facilities, and other public places.

10

11 12

14 15

16

13

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

27 28

26

- California Civil Code § 54.1 also states, in part, that: Individuals 35. with disabilities shall be entitled to full and equal access to accommodations, facilities, telephone facilities, places of public accommodation, and other places to which the general public is invited.
- Both sections specifically incorporate (by reference) an individual's 36. rights under the ADA. See Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d).
- Here, Long John Silver's discriminated against the physically 37. disabled public—including Oliver—by denying them full and equal access to the Restaurant. Long John Silver's also violated Oliver's rights under the ADA, and, therefore, infringed upon or violated (or both) Oliver's rights under the Disabled Persons Act.
- For each offense of the Disabled Persons Act, Oliver seeks actual 38. damages (both general and special damages), statutory minimum damages of one thousand dollars (\$1,000), declaratory relief, and any other remedy available under California Civil Code § 54.3.
- He also seeks to enjoin Long John Silver's from violating the 39. Disabled Persons Act (and ADA) under California Civil Code § 55, and to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and incurred under California Civil Code §§ 54.3 and 55.

VIII. THIRD CLAIM

Unruh Civil Rights Act

- Oliver incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 40. through 30 for this claim.
- California Civil Code § 51 states, in part, that: All persons within 41. the jurisdiction of this state are entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind whatsoever.

4

- 5 6
- 8 9

7

- 10 11
- 12

13

- 14 15
- 16

17

- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27 28
- Oliver v. UNS, Inc. dba Long John Silver's #31090 Plaintiff's Complaint

- California Civil Code § 51.5 also states, in part, that: No business 42. establishment of any kind whatsoever shall discriminate against any person in this state because of the disability of the person.
- California Civil Code § 51(f) specifically incorporates (by 43. reference) an individual's rights under the ADA into the Unruh Act.
- Long John Silver's aforementioned acts and omissions denied the 44. physically disabled public-including Oliver-full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges and services in a business establishment (because of their physical disability).
- These acts and omissions (including the ones that violate the ADA) 45. denied, aided or incited a denial, or discriminated against Oliver by violating the Unruh Act.
- Oliver was damaged by Long John Silver's wrongful conduct, and 46. seeks statutory minimum damages of four thousand dollars (\$4,000) for each offense.
- Oliver also seeks to enjoin Long John Silver's from violating the 47. Unruh Act (and ADA), and recover reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred under California Civil Code § 52(a).

IX. FOURTH CLAIM

Denial of Full and Equal Access to Public Facilities

- Oliver incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 48. through 13 for this claim.
- Health and Safety Code § 19955(a) states, in part, that: California 49. public accommodations or facilities (built with private funds) shall adhere to the provisions of Government Code § 4450.
- Health and Safety Code § 19959 states, in part, that: Every existing 50. (non-exempt) public accommodation constructed prior to July 1, 1970, which is altered or structurally repaired, is required to comply with this chapter.

- 51. alleges the Restaurant is a public accommodation constructed, altered, or repaired in a manner that violates Part 5.5 of the Health and Safety Code or Government Code § 4450 (or both), and that the Restaurant was not exempt under Health and Safety Code § 19956.
- 52. Long John Silver's non-compliance with these requirements at the Restaurant aggrieved (or potentially aggrieved) Oliver and other persons with physical disabilities. Accordingly, he seeks injunctive relief and attorney fees pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 19953.

X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Oliver prays judgment against Long John Silver's for:

- Injunctive relief, preventive relief, or any other relief the Court deems proper.
- 2. Declaratory relief that Long John Silver's violated the ADA for the purposes of Unruh Act or Disabled Persons Act damages.
- 3. Statutory minimum damages under either sections 52(a) or 54.3(a) of the California Civil Code (but not both) according to proof.
- Attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit.⁴ 4.
- 5. Interest at the legal rate from the date of the filing of this action.

DATED: July 29, 2008

DISABLED ADVOCACY GROUP, APLC



25

24

26

27

28

This includes attorneys' fees under California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5. Oliver v. UNS, Inc. dba Long John Silver's #31090 Plaintiff's Complaint

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

153511 - TC

July 31, 2008 10:20:03

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV1385

Judge..: ROGER T BENITEZ

Amount.:

\$350.00 CC

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: A.J. OLIVER

VS.

LONG JOHN SILVERS

CIVIL COVER SHEET

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS a.J. OLIVER		DI	DEFENDANTS UNS, INC. dba LONG J			
		U			они sitver's #31090 2008	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff SAN DIEGO (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Li (IN U.S NOTE IN LAND CONDE LAND INVOLVE		isted Defendant S. FLAINTGLERKS U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA EMILIAN CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF PUTY ED.	
NN HUBBARD, III	Address, and Telephone Number) DISABLED ADVOCACY GROUP	P, APLC	torneys (If Known)	'08 CV 13	85 REN ISB	
Williamsburg Lane (I. BASIS OF JURISE	Chico, CA 95926 (530) 895-325 DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	1	iversity Cases Only) PT	F DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place	
J 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item		nother State		Principal Place	
N. NATURE OF CHI		Citizen or S Foreign C	•	3	0606	
V. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Manager & Section 1	TURE/PENALTY	#####BANKRUPTCY####	SU SUBJECTHERISTATUTIES CHAR	
1 110 Insurance 1 120 Marine 1 130 Mailler Act 1 140 Negotiable Instrument 1 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 1 151 Medicare Act 1 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 1 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 1 160 Stockholders' Suits 1 190 Other Contract 1 195 Contract Product Liability 1 196 Franchise 1 210 Land Condemnation 1 220 Foreclosure 1 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 1 240 Torts to Land 1 245 Tort Product Liability 1 290 All Other Real Property	□ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Pro Liability Liability □ 340 Marine PERSONAL PR □ 345 Marine Product □ 370 Other Fra Liability □ 371 Truth in L □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 380 Other Per □ 355 Motor Vehicle Property I Product Liability □ 385 Property I	Injury - practice injury - practice injury - institute 625 Drug of Pr institute 630 Liqu 640 R.R. 650 Airli 660 Octo 650 Airli 690 Othe ending sonal 710 Fair Damage 720 Labe lability 730 Lab & Di IntitionSi 740 Rail 790 Othe 791 Emp sus: 682 Other 683 Airli 790 Other 791 Emp sus: 684 Airli 694 Airli 796 Airli 797 Other 798 Emp sus: 684 Airli 694 Airli 746 Airli	pr Food & Drug 3 Related Seizure operty 21 USC 881 or Laws & Truck ine Regs. upational ety/Health et ELABORIEMMENTAL Labor Standards or/Mgmt. Relations or/Mgmt. Reporting sclosure Act way Labor Act er Labor Litigation ol. Ret. Inc. urity Act MIGRATIONSIMILATION TO BE T	861 HIA (1395ff)	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 75 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts	
陆 1 Original 🔲 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	4 Reinstated Reopened	anothe (speci		on Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of cause: Ungoing violations of the Al		4.4 %			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:				CHECK YES on JURY DEMAN	ly if demanded in complaint D: ☐ Yes Ø No	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		,	DOCKET NUMBER		
ATE ·	SIGNATURE	OF ATTORNE	74.4			
07/29/2008						

